

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
3823	Wang, Paul	8	87.5%	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.64	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	5.00	5.00	4.90	
3843	Hall, Alan	12	100.0%	4.25	3.75	4.00	4.25	3.67	3.50	3.90	4.17	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.08	4.13	
3993	O'Geen, Charles	4	75.0%	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.22	
3993	Wang, Paul	5	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.97	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.93	
4114	Nashlen, Thomas	18	55.6%	4.60	4.50	4.40	4.30	4.00	4.40	4.37	4.70	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.70	4.60	4.47	
4116	Bodurow, Constance	6	66.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.04	4.25	4.25	3.50	3.50	4.50	3.75	3.96	
4116	Carpenter, Donald	6	66.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.04	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.67	
4116	Moore, Dorian	4	25.0%	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
4116	Nunez, Ralph	6	83.3%	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.63	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.80	5.00	4.77	
4116	Orlowski, Edward	6	83.3%	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.60	4.53	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.63	
4126	Faoro, Daniel	4	100.0%	3.50	4.00	3.50	3.50	2.25	3.25	3.33	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.38	
4126	Nashlen, Thomas	4	100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.33	
4126	Ragheb, Ashraf	4	100.0%	3.50	3.25	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.46	3.75	3.25	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	
4183	Gyure, Dale	18	61.1%	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.18	4.18	4.36	4.35	4.73	4.55	4.55	4.27	4.45	4.36	4.48	
4183	Hennebury, Deirdre	16	56.3%	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.78	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
4183	Swift, Amy	11	63.6%	4.86	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.64	4.86	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.71	
4224	Bodurow, Constance	8	37.5%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.33	
4224	Kim, Joongsub	3	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
4224	Orlowski, Edward	9	66.7%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.50	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	
4234	Deines, Kelly	8	50.0%	3.75	4.50	3.75	3.25	4.00	4.25	3.92	5.00	4.25	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.63	
4234	Lammers, Barbara	6	100.0%	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	3.33	3.33	4.19	5.00	4.17	3.50	4.17	4.67	4.17	4.19	
4284	Wang, Paul	10	100.0%	4.90	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.88	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.87	
4443	Oranchak, Joseph	18	66.7%	4.08	3.75	3.75	4.17	3.97	3.58	3.83	4.00	3.08	3.75	3.58	3.17	3.25	3.47	
4443	Paterson, David	18	83.3%	4.20	4.13	4.20	4.27	4.27	4.20	4.21	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.47	4.73	4.53	4.52	
4543	Faoro, Daniel	15	73.3%	4.73	4.64	4.64	4.55	4.73	4.45	4.62	4.82	4.64	4.45	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.61	
4543	Makkawy, Abdel	25	44.0%	4.27	4.27	4.45	4.45	4.27	4.45	4.36	4.64	4.36	4.55	4.45	4.73	4.64	4.56	
4813	Grant, Janice	7	42.9%	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.39	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72	
4843	Hall, Alan	10	90.0%	4.56	4.33	4.22	4.44	4.11	4.11	4.30	4.67	4.33	4.56	4.44	4.38	4.56	4.50	
5013	Adhya, Anirban	12	50.0%	4.17	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.39	4.83	4.50	4.17	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.53	
5013	Ferguson, Justin	10	100.0%	4.80	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.90	4.90	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.90	4.95	
5013	Siegel, Jennifer	15	40.0%	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.00	4.36	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	
5034	Eichenlaub, Beverly	9	66.7%	2.83	3.17	3.00	1.83	2.17	2.50	2.58	2.67	1.83	3.17	2.17	2.67	2.33	2.47	
5202	Haddad, Natalie	6	66.7%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	
5202	Stevens, James	6	33.3%	4.50	3.50	4.50	3.50	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.58	
5242	Kim, Joongsub	3	66.7%	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
5423	Allen, William	12	41.7%	4.60	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.60	5.00	5.00	4.80	
5423	Bodurow, Constance	10	10.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
5622	Keslacy, Elizabeth	12	75.0%	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.72	4.89	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.63	
5643	Hennebury, Deirdre	11	81.8%	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	5.00	4.78	4.89	4.67	4.89	4.89	4.85	
5643	Roberts, Laura	9	66.7%	4.17	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.31	4.50	4.17	4.17	3.80	4.33	4.17	4.21	
5643	Siegel, Jennifer	15	53.3%	4.13	4.25	4.25	3.88	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.13	3.88	3.38	3.88	4.43	3.88	3.88	
5693	Bodurow, Constance	9	44.4%	3.50	4.25	3.50	3.50	4.50	4.50	4.13	4.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.75	3.75	3.75	
5714	Kim, Joongsub	7	57.1%	2.50	2.67	2.75	3.00	2.50	2.75	2.71	3.00	2.75	3.00	3.00	3.25	2.75	2.96	
5742	Martin, Jordan	10	60.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.00	4.40	4.24	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.47	
5814	Abela, John	12	91.7%	4.73	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.73	4.73	4.64	4.82	4.82	4.45	4.55	4.82	4.82	4.71	
5814	Adhya, Anirban	6	16.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	3.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	
5814	Colon, Jason	5	20.0%	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
5814	Deines, Amy	9	33.3%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	
5814	Farlow, Mark	11	72.7%	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.63	4.75	4.67	4.88	4.63	4.88	4.63	4.88	4.88	4.79	
5814	Jones, Aaron	9	33.3%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.78	
5814	Shall, Scott	11	54.5%	3.00	3.17	3.17	3.17	3.17	3.33	3.17	3.83	3.50	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.00	
5814	Stevens, James	11	54.5%	3.00	3.17	3.33	3.33	3.00	3.17	3.17	4.17	3.83	3.67	3.83	4.17	3.67	3.89	

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

		Participation		The Course							The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ECE	5233	Judnic, Victor	20	75.0%	4.73	4.67	4.80	4.73	4.73	4.80	4.74	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.87	4.87	4.82	
	5263	Alsharif, Samer	21	95.2%	4.60	4.65	4.60	4.50	4.45	4.50	4.55	4.60	4.45	4.65	4.35	4.45	4.51	
	5343	Doran, Thomas	9	44.4%	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96	
	5413	Lobbstaal, Adam	10	90.0%	4.78	4.89	5.00	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.87	4.89	5.00	5.00	4.89	5.00	4.93	
	5703	Bebawy, Mena	13	76.9%	4.40	4.70	4.60	4.30	4.50	4.30	4.47	4.90	4.60	4.70	4.50	4.60	4.67	
	5773	Kowalkowski, Keith	19	100.0%	4.89	4.79	4.74	4.74	4.79	4.79	4.79	5.00	4.89	4.89	4.84	4.95	4.93	
	5823	Bandara, Nishantha	23	91.3%	4.86	4.90	4.81	4.71	4.81	4.76	4.81	4.90	4.76	4.81	4.76	4.76	4.81	
	6733	El-Essawi, Mohamed	8	75.0%	3.83	3.83	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.11	4.67	3.50	4.00	4.33	4.50	4.19	
EEE	1001	Olivier, Philip	12	83.3%	4.30	4.75	4.43	4.00	3.90	4.10	4.10	4.90	4.80	5.00	4.33	5.00	4.77	
	2111	Foster, Ronald	17	58.8%	3.50	4.00	3.80	2.70	3.70	3.90	3.60	3.60	2.80	3.10	3.10	3.30	3.22	
	2111	Walker, Lewis	5	60.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.33	4.00	4.67	2.67	3.00	3.72	
	2114	Walker, Lewis	25	48.0%	3.92	3.92	4.00	3.75	3.92	4.00	3.92	3.50	3.42	3.83	3.83	3.42	3.61	
	2123	Anneberg, Lisa	28	60.7%	4.06	4.12	4.41	4.00	3.76	4.00	4.06	4.29	3.94	4.41	4.24	4.47	4.23	
	2123	Cloud, Michael	22	54.5%	4.58	4.50	4.33	4.08	4.25	4.42	4.36	4.75	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.25	4.51	
	2214	Foster, Ronald	13	69.2%	3.11	3.33	3.89	2.67	4.11	3.78	3.48	4.33	3.44	4.00	3.11	4.00	3.72	
	2214	Jaber, Nabih	16	25.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	
	3011	Jaber, Nabih	18	66.7%	2.92	3.00	3.40	2.92	3.09	3.08	3.00	4.27	3.91	4.20	4.00	4.45	4.16	
	3121	Renehan, Bridget	10	60.0%	4.17	4.00	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.64	
	3124	Cloud, Michael	16	62.5%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.90	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.70	4.85	
	3124	LeCarpentier, Gerald	4	75.0%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	
	3221	Anneberg, Lisa	10	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.80	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.97	
	3223	Anneberg, Lisa	17	35.3%	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.97	4.00	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.97	
	3231	Foster, Ronald	16	56.3%	3.89	4.00	4.13	3.67	4.11	4.00	3.94	4.11	3.89	4.11	4.00	3.78	4.00	
	3233	Kolasa, William	21	47.6%	2.10	3.30	3.10	2.40	3.00	2.60	2.75	3.40	2.30	2.70	3.20	2.60	2.85	
	3311	Renehan, Bridget	4	75.0%	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.94	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	
	3314	Dragon, Thomas	5	80.0%	3.50	3.25	3.50	3.00	4.25	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.75	3.50	3.25	4.25	3.71	
	3414	Cloud, Michael	11	63.6%	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.43	4.71	4.86	4.67	5.00	4.86	5.00	4.86	4.86	4.93	
	3422	Johnston, Richard	3	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
	3511	Walker, Lewis	6	50.0%	3.67	3.00	3.33	2.67	3.00	3.33	3.17	3.33	3.67	3.67	4.00	3.33	3.67	
	3513	Walker, Lewis	6	50.0%	3.33	3.00	3.00	3.33	3.67	3.33	3.28	3.33	3.33	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.11	
	4241	Sweet, Benjamin	9	88.9%	4.25	4.13	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.40	4.88	4.50	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.67	
	4243	Sweet, Benjamin	16	81.3%	4.15	4.33	4.15	4.00	3.69	3.77	4.00	4.69	4.15	4.54	4.23	4.62	4.40	
	4253	Foster, Ronald	16	31.3%	3.20	3.80	4.00	3.20	4.00	3.80	3.67	4.20	3.40	4.20	3.80	4.00	3.93	
	4263	Anneberg, Lisa	7	42.9%	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.78	
	4313	Johnston, Richard	8	62.5%	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.67	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.70	
	4323	Kolasa, William	5	80.0%	3.25	4.25	4.00	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.75	4.25	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.92	
	4423	Hua, Kun	10	70.0%	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.50	4.57	4.29	4.29	4.57	4.43	4.45	
	4514	Foster, Ronald	14	42.9%	4.33	4.17	4.33	3.67	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.00	3.80	3.33	4.00	3.97	
	4811	Jaber, Nabih	13	76.9%	3.11	3.50	3.11	2.89	3.75	3.67	3.28	4.25	3.67	4.00	3.88	4.38	3.96	
	4822	Jaber, Nabih	4	75.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.58	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
	4831	Jaber, Nabih	6	50.0%	3.33	3.00	3.67	2.67	3.33	2.00	2.99	3.67	3.67	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.00	
	5274	Kandaswamy, Umasankar	15	60.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.59	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.61	
	5314	Johnston, Richard	5	80.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	
	5364	Anneberg, Lisa	13	76.9%	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.72	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.90	4.70	4.72	
	5534	Dourra, Hussein	20	75.0%	3.53	3.33	3.60	3.67	3.53	3.27	3.39	2.87	2.60	2.93	3.13	2.93	2.86	
	5534	Olivier, Philip	16	37.5%	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.44	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.58	
	5534	Zorka, Nicholas	32	56.3%	3.61	3.56	3.72	3.56	3.67	3.67	3.63	3.39	3.44	3.61	3.56	3.39	3.22	
	5654	Hua, Kun	30	80.0%	4.88	4.79	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.79	4.80	4.88	4.75	4.92	4.88	4.88	4.86	
	5654	Jaber, Nabih	15	40.0%	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.53	
	5654	Kandaswamy, Umasankar	28	60.7%	4.41	4.35	4.41	4.35	4.53	4.53	4.43	4.71	4.41	4.71	4.50	4.53	4.55	
	6784	Hua, Kun	17	94.1%	4.75	4.69	4.75	4.63	4.69	4.75	4.71	4.88	4.75	4.81	4.75	4.69	4.78	

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course						The Instructor						Instructor Average	
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.		Overall, the instructor was effective.
	5453	Sitaram, Pattabhi	20	80.0%	4.00	3.88	4.38	3.94	4.19	3.94	4.05	4.25	4.19	4.50	4.38	4.31	4.31	4.32
	5603	Ali, Sk	23	56.5%	4.69	4.62	4.85	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.71	4.69	4.54	4.85	4.62	4.85	4.85	4.73
	5983	Fletcher, Robert	10	50.0%	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.00	4.00	4.60	4.33	4.60	4.60	4.20	3.80	4.20	4.40	4.30
	6223	Jawad, Badih	28	42.9%	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.67	4.50	4.58	4.50	4.58	4.58	4.57
	6333	Khosrovaneh, Abolhass	30	66.7%	4.20	4.20	4.45	4.35	4.10	4.20	4.25	4.35	4.35	4.50	4.35	4.25	4.40	4.37
	6543	Koutsavdis, Evangelos	18	55.6%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.50	4.47	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	6553	Sitaram, Pattabhi	32	53.1%	3.82	3.65	3.65	3.47	3.88	3.59	3.68	3.47	3.47	3.53	3.53	3.65	3.35	3.50
	6623	Makki, Imad	20	80.0%	4.38	4.31	4.44	4.50	4.31	4.25	4.36	4.69	4.44	4.44	4.31	4.50	4.19	4.43
	6653	Trewn, Jayant	5	60.0%	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.00	3.67	4.22	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.00	3.67	4.22
	6763	Shevlin, John	21	42.9%	4.56	3.67	4.22	4.44	4.11	4.33	4.22	4.56	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.50
	6803	Alwerfalli, Daw	33	84.8%	4.43	4.39	4.54	4.43	4.46	4.54	4.46	4.71	4.68	4.61	4.71	4.75	4.61	4.68
	6993	Alwerfalli, Daw	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	6993	Jawad, Badih	2	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	7503	Riedel, Chris	20	75.0%	4.73	4.93	4.80	4.80	4.67	4.87	4.80	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.87	4.87	4.93	4.91
	7543	Koutsavdis, Evangelos	6	83.3%	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.63	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.60	5.00	5.00	4.93
	7613	Ali, Sk	27	59.3%	4.31	4.50	4.44	4.38	4.31	4.38	4.39	4.50	4.56	4.63	4.56	4.56	4.63	4.57
ERE	3014	Kfoury, Giscard	9	55.6%	3.60	2.80	3.60	3.40	3.80	3.60	3.47	4.40	3.40	3.60	3.80	4.60	3.80	3.93
	3114	Kfoury, Giscard	9	44.4%	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.04	5.00	3.75	3.50	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.21
	4014	Kfoury, Giscard	2	100.0%	3.00	4.00	3.50	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.42	4.50	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00
	4113	Fernandez, Vernon	3	100.0%	2.33	1.00	2.67	2.33	3.33	3.33	2.50	4.00	2.67	2.50	3.67	4.00	3.00	3.43
ESL	112	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	5	80.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
	132	Bauer, Emily	15	80.0%	4.75	4.75	4.67	4.58	4.67	4.75	4.69	4.73	4.64	4.82	4.67	4.82	4.82	4.69
	152	Finlay, Suzanne	7	42.9%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	152	Theisen, Mary Lou	9	88.9%	4.50	4.63	5.00	5.00	4.88	4.88	4.81	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.88	5.00	5.00	4.98
	212	Edward, Pauline	5	60.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	232	Bennett, Douglas	7	85.7%	4.50	4.67	4.17	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.56
	232	Corp, Scott	14	71.4%	4.89	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.50	4.50	4.62	4.50	4.56	4.56	4.60	4.50	4.78	4.52
	252	Bennett, Douglas	8	37.5%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	252	Jawad, Megdieh	16	81.3%	4.62	4.54	4.62	4.62	4.46	4.46	4.55	4.46	4.38	4.54	4.54	4.46	4.46	4.47
	252	Rescoe, Sarah	4	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.33
	312	Broughton, Katharine	7	57.1%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	332	Broughton, Katharine	5	60.0%	5.00	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.33	5.00	4.56	5.00	5.00	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.61
	332	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	13	76.9%	4.30	4.20	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.33	4.30	4.10	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.40	4.27
	352	Bauer, Emily	15	80.0%	4.42	4.33	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.33	4.39	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.46
	352	Corp, Scott	16	56.3%	3.78	3.78	3.89	4.00	3.89	3.78	3.85	3.78	3.89	3.89	3.89	4.00	3.89	3.89
	432	Mirza, Megan	22	59.1%	4.77	4.62	4.77	4.69	4.67	4.83	4.71	4.69	4.67	4.67	4.75	4.85	4.77	4.71
	452	Mirza, Megan	24	75.0%	4.71	4.71	4.76	4.65	4.76	4.71	4.72	4.59	4.65	4.59	4.59	4.65	4.65	4.62
	512	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	5	60.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.61	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	532	Bauer, Emily	12	58.3%	4.86	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.86	5.00	4.90	5.00	5.00	4.71	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.95
	532	Edward, Pauline	11	63.6%	4.14	4.29	4.00	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.19	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.36
	552	Berri, Sarah	10	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	552	Finlay, Suzanne	6	50.0%	3.33	3.33	4.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.50	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.33	4.00	3.00	3.50
	552	Revels, Teresa	9	55.6%	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.33	4.20	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.20
	612	Berri, Sarah	6	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	632	Neme, Jonathon	11	63.6%	4.57	4.43	4.33	4.43	4.14	4.43	4.37	4.57	4.67	4.50	4.43	4.00	4.17	4.33
	652	Jawad, Megdieh	4	75.0%	3.67	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.33	3.67	3.72	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.94
	652	Neme, Jonathon	9	66.7%	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.69	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.81
FIN	3103	Tsebro, Pavlo	21	33.3%	4.43	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.50	4.71	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.43
FSC	1214	Woodworth, James	29	69.0%	3.50	3.70	3.70	3.35	3.40	3.50	3.53	4.30	3.40	3.95	3.50	3.90	3.70	3.79

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

		Participation		The Course							The Instructor								
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average	
GAM	2123	Ashton, Marshall	8	100.0%	4.13	4.63	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.25	4.29	4.50	4.13	4.38	4.13	4.50	4.38	4.33	
	2213	Ashton, Marshall	16	56.3%	4.44	4.89	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.61	5.00	4.67	4.89	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.70	
	3143	Ashton, Marshall	7	85.7%	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.36	
	4514	Ashton, Marshall	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
GLG	1103	Thomas, David	32	46.9%	4.07	4.07	4.33	3.87	3.87	3.87	4.01	4.80	3.87	4.40	4.20	4.73	4.40	4.40	
	6143	Rands, Marilyn	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
HRM	3023	Egleston, David	31	41.9%	4.23	4.23	4.23	4.23	4.08	4.08	4.18	4.62	4.46	4.54	4.38	4.31	4.38	4.45	
IDD	1113	Nagara, Keith	19	26.3%	3.60	3.50	3.60	3.20	4.20	3.40	3.58	3.60	2.80	3.40	3.40	4.00	3.80	3.50	
	1114	English, Derek	10	50.0%	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.67	5.00	4.20	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.63	
	1114	Falenski, Jason	10	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.75	3.75	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.38	
	1114	Hanzel, Andrew	10	40.0%	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.75	5.00	4.79	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	
	2213	Graf, Benjamin	6	100.0%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.83	4.58	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.83	5.00	4.92	
	2216	English, Derek	6	100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.64	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.94	
	2216	Falenski, Jason	6	100.0%	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.56	5.00	4.83	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.83	4.81	
	2216	Huacuja, Roberto	6	100.0%	3.50	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.83	4.00	4.67	4.00	4.83	4.33	4.44	
	2216	Manuilow, Taylor	6	100.0%	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.17	4.50	4.67	4.53	4.67	4.17	4.50	4.17	4.33	4.67	4.42	
	2216	Palazzolo, Joseph	6	100.0%	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.78	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
	2216	Zubrickas, Paul	6	100.0%	4.33	4.00	4.50	3.83	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.17	4.33	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.00	4.28	
	3316	Fontaine, Jonathan	6	16.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	
	3316	Moore, William	6	16.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	
	3316	Nelson, Christopher	6	16.7%	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.83	
3316	Preuss, Kevin	6	16.7%	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	4.17		
INT	3103	Alexandris, Athanasios	18	66.7%	4.33	4.42	4.67	4.67	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.75	4.67	4.67	4.75	4.69	
	3203	Makar, Andrew	10	30.0%	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	
	3603	Montgomery, Robert	16	93.8%	4.80	4.87	4.87	4.67	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.86	4.67	4.67	4.73	4.77	4.79	4.72	
	3803	Ellison, Howard	10	70.0%	3.14	2.86	3.57	3.43	3.29	3.29	3.26	3.43	3.43	3.43	3.86	3.29	3.43	3.48	
	3803	Ku, Chih	16	50.0%	2.63	3.25	3.13	3.13	2.63	3.00	2.96	4.00	3.25	4.00	4.13	4.13	3.88	3.90	
	4013	Dada, Amanullah	17	64.7%	3.00	3.00	3.55	3.27	3.36	3.36	3.26	3.36	3.64	3.73	3.45	4.00	3.60	3.62	
	4203	Bendall, Carrie	6	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	
	4303	Montgomery, Robert	6	100.0%	4.50	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.67	4.33	4.36	4.50	3.67	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.31	
	4303	Neary, John	7	85.7%	4.67	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.69	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.86	
	5024	Dada, Amanullah	15	86.7%	4.00	4.50	4.54	3.85	3.92	4.23	4.09	4.23	4.00	4.23	3.92	4.31	4.15	4.14	
	6043	Kohnke, Anne	30	46.7%	4.57	4.64	4.50	4.57	4.43	4.50	4.54	4.79	4.79	4.86	4.71	4.71	4.79	4.77	
	6043	McCord, Samuel	24	41.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.47	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.82	
	6113	Ku, Chih	24	70.8%	4.35	4.41	4.53	4.29	4.47	4.35	4.40	4.29	4.41	4.59	4.24	4.41	4.35	4.38	
	6123	Kohnke, Anne	36	63.9%	4.91	4.78	4.87	4.83	4.91	4.96	4.88	4.83	4.87	4.87	4.83	4.87	4.91	4.86	
	6143	Evans Mach, Patrick	26	96.2%	3.84	3.92	3.88	3.68	4.12	3.96	3.90	4.16	3.84	3.96	4.00	4.24	4.00	4.02	
	6253	Taleb, Hassan	23	73.9%	4.35	4.47	4.47	4.53	4.24	4.29	4.34	4.41	4.35	4.59	4.65	4.50	4.47	4.50	
	7213	Evans Mach, Patrick	21	100.0%	4.38	4.19	4.33	4.29	4.48	4.43	4.35	4.38	4.43	4.67	4.38	4.67	4.62	4.52	
	7223	Chasse, James	18	88.9%	4.81	4.56	4.63	4.69	4.56	4.69	4.66	4.88	4.69	4.69	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	
	7513	Padalino, Anthony	17	76.5%	4.54	4.54	4.62	4.62	4.69	4.69	4.62	4.77	4.69	4.77	4.69	4.77	4.69	4.73	
	7563	Hoffner, Vernon	8	50.0%	2.25	3.25	2.50	2.25	2.50	2.25	2.50	3.25	2.50	2.75	2.50	3.00	3.00	2.83	
	7593	Allen, Victor	8	87.5%	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.29	3.86	4.00	4.26	4.14	4.00	4.00	3.57	4.00	4.00	3.95	
	IOE	2012	Mullin, Thomas	4	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
		3023	Sobolak, Steve	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.67	5.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	3.67
LDR	2001	Balcom, Cynthia	35	77.1%	3.70	4.19	4.19	4.31	4.00	3.73	4.01	4.44	4.07	4.52	4.38	4.67	4.19	4.38	

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course						The Instructor						
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.
LDR	2001	Coratti, Anthony	9	55.6%	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.80	4.60	5.00	4.63	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2001	Craigo, Brian	17	76.5%	3.77	4.23	4.00	3.92	4.00	3.62	3.92	4.38	4.08	4.46	4.17	4.54	4.31
	2001	Duranceau, Claudia	34	41.2%	3.86	3.86	4.07	4.21	3.50	3.43	3.82	4.43	3.93	4.07	4.14	4.29	4.07
	2001	Faulkner, Ty	30	66.7%	4.25	4.40	4.40	4.25	3.95	4.10	4.23	4.45	4.05	4.40	4.35	4.80	4.40
	2001	Hobart, Jamie	19	73.7%	4.85	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.33	4.08	4.54	4.77	4.77	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.85
	2001	Jolly, Katherine	20	70.0%	4.00	3.79	4.07	4.43	3.50	3.21	3.83	4.00	4.21	4.00	4.00	4.07	4.14
	2001	Nagara, Keith	17	82.4%	3.57	3.64	3.79	3.43	3.71	3.57	3.62	3.86	3.64	3.86	3.36	3.86	3.79
	2001	Nation, Christopher	37	62.2%	4.57	4.43	4.39	4.70	4.39	4.26	4.46	4.91	4.87	4.87	4.91	4.91	4.87
	2001	Reimer, Donald	15	80.0%	3.50	3.50	3.75	3.25	3.17	3.17	3.39	3.92	3.42	3.83	3.58	3.67	3.33
	3000	Craigo, Brian	207	59.4%	3.83	3.77	3.85	3.84	3.61	3.38	3.71	4.00	3.93	3.65	4.06	4.09	3.92
	4000	Duranceau, Claudia	46	56.5%	3.96	3.88	3.80	4.08	3.73	3.19	3.76	4.04	4.31	4.36	4.28	4.19	4.12
	4000	Eren, Gonca	25	88.0%	3.82	3.26	3.52	3.76	3.19	2.91	3.40	3.94	4.00	3.84	4.00	3.89	3.94
4000	Hobart, Jamie	25	44.0%	4.00	4.09	4.00	4.27	3.70	2.91	3.82	4.20	4.55	4.55	4.40	4.20	4.30	
LLT	1213	Kelber, Nathan	36	61.1%	4.41	4.36	4.41	4.55	4.27	4.32	4.39	4.50	4.50	4.64	4.59	4.50	4.55
	1213	Lieber, Michael	34	55.9%	4.74	4.68	4.74	4.74	4.74	4.63	4.71	4.84	4.84	4.78	4.84	4.89	4.74
	1213	Schantz, Randy	38	44.7%	3.69	4.13	4.00	3.76	3.94	3.41	3.75	4.12	3.69	3.47	3.47	4.13	3.47
	1213	Weinstein, Melinda	25	68.0%	3.82	3.56	3.65	3.76	3.82	3.59	3.74	4.53	4.12	4.35	3.88	4.29	4.12
	1223	Brinker, Ludger	16	68.8%	4.27	4.45	4.45	4.09	4.36	4.36	4.33	5.00	4.64	4.82	4.55	4.82	4.73
	1223	Frenkel, Cindy	7	85.7%	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.17	4.33	4.25	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.50	5.00	4.83
	1223	Grant, Leigh	17	70.6%	4.00	3.58	4.33	4.25	4.09	4.00	4.01	4.58	4.08	4.58	4.42	4.42	4.25
	1223	Heiniger, Abigail	15	53.3%	4.38	4.13	4.50	4.25	4.00	3.88	4.19	4.88	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.63
	1223	Jausse, Paul	9	77.8%	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.50	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.71	5.00	4.86
	1223	Lamers, Sara	26	57.7%	4.27	4.27	4.40	3.93	3.87	3.73	4.08	4.60	4.27	4.20	3.87	4.33	4.13
	1223	Wilhelm, Stephanie	16	87.5%	4.50	4.36	4.36	4.29	4.29	4.07	4.31	4.71	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.64	4.36
	1223	Yezbick, Denise	16	68.8%	4.36	4.27	4.18	3.91	4.00	3.91	4.11	4.18	4.00	4.50	4.09	4.27	4.09
	3423	Finkelman, Louis	9	100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.56	4.89	4.33	4.67	4.56	5.00	4.67
	3443	Brinker, Ludger	14	85.7%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.08	4.33	4.32	4.50	4.50	4.55	4.50	4.58	4.42
	3543	Jausse, Paul	12	50.0%	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.89	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4523	Lamers, Sara	14	78.6%	4.27	3.64	4.50	3.64	4.00	4.09	4.00	4.64	4.00	4.36	3.82	4.00	4.27
	4923	Grant, Leigh	12	66.7%	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.75	3.75	4.13	4.27	5.00	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75
MBA	5013	Cole, Matthew	11	45.5%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.80
	6013	Egleston, David	10	50.0%	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.27	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60
	6023	Ford, Janet	28	39.3%	3.91	4.00	3.91	4.09	4.09	4.00	4.00	4.36	3.73	3.91	4.27	4.36	4.18
	6023	Marx, Thomas	17	41.2%	4.67	4.83	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.81	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.83	4.86
	6033	Tsebro, Pavlo	11	18.2%	3.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.58	5.00	3.00	5.00	3.50	4.50	3.50
	6043	Castelli, Patricia	28	42.9%	4.75	4.67	4.75	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.92	4.75	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92
	6043	Marx, Thomas	26	42.3%	4.45	4.36	4.18	4.45	4.27	4.55	4.38	4.73	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.64
	6053	Kiani, Isar	15	86.7%	3.15	3.54	3.54	3.00	3.54	3.00	3.28	3.69	2.62	3.46	3.00	3.62	3.38
	6053	Mathur, Manisha	10	30.0%	2.00	3.00	2.33	3.00	2.33	2.67	2.56	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.33	2.67
	6063	Paryani, Kioumars	11	45.5%	3.40	3.60	3.00	3.40	3.60	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.00	3.20	3.60	3.00	3.20
	6063	Raghavan, Srikant	19	36.8%	5.00	4.71	4.43	4.71	4.14	4.29	4.55	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.71	5.00
	6073	Taj, Shahram	10	50.0%	4.00	3.40	3.40	4.20	4.20	3.80	3.83	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.60
	7023	Tsebro, Pavlo	9	33.3%	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.33	3.67	4.00	3.61	4.33	3.67	4.67	3.33	4.67	4.00
	7053	Castelli, Patricia	7	100.0%	4.29	4.14	3.86	4.29	4.14	4.29	4.17	4.43	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.57
	7063	Allen, Victor	22	40.9%	4.44	4.22	4.44	4.22	4.11	4.33	4.30	4.56	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.56	4.22
	7063	Emmitt, Timothy	17	47.1%	4.13	4.25	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.29	4.14	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.29
	7063	Hsi, Morris	13	38.5%	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.77	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.80
	7123	Marx, Thomas	7	57.1%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
	7173	Taleb, Hassan	15	100.0%	4.73	4.60	4.73	4.87	4.53	4.53	4.67	4.87	4.87	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.91
	5011T	Browne, Warren	13	15.4%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
	5021T	Browne, Warren	11	45.5%	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.00	4.60	4.40	4.50	5.00	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.60

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

		Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
MGT	2203 Stavros, Jacqueline	19	47.4%	4.89	4.89	5.00	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.87	4.89	4.89	5.00	4.89	5.00	5.00	4.94
	3013 Gadd, Kenneth	11	63.6%	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.60	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.69
	3033 Deska, Thomas	15	46.7%	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71
	3103 Paryani, Kioumars	26	84.6%	3.64	3.77	4.00	3.73	3.68	3.59	3.73	3.91	3.68	3.86	3.64	3.77	3.77	3.77
	3113 Raghavan, Srikant	27	48.1%	4.38	4.38	4.31	4.54	4.25	4.15	4.34	4.38	4.15	4.38	4.23	4.15	4.08	4.23
	4213 Omrani, Massood	9	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	8073 Stavros, Jacqueline	9	55.6%	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.79	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.92
	8083 Bush, Richard	5	100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.70	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97
	8093 Kohnke, Anne	10	40.0%	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.50	3.75	4.25	4.17	4.75	3.75	3.50	3.75	4.25	4.00	4.00
MKT	2013 Kiani, Isar	23	87.0%	3.85	3.90	3.75	3.65	3.65	3.75	3.76	3.90	3.60	3.85	3.60	4.00	3.70	3.78
	2013 Rutledge, Daniel	20	20.0%	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.21	4.50	3.50	4.25	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.00
MSE	5183 Mynderse, James	15	93.3%	4.71	4.79	4.79	4.50	4.71	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.29	4.36	4.71	4.64	4.50
PHY	1154 Bozin, Keith	17	64.7%	3.73	4.09	4.09	3.91	3.91	3.82	3.92	4.36	3.82	3.91	3.91	4.45	3.82	4.05
	1154 Carduner, Keith	15	60.0%	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.78	3.78	3.44	3.78	4.33	4.22	4.33	4.11	3.78	4.00	4.13
	2213 Ahmadi, Parviz	19	68.4%	3.67	3.50	3.67	3.83	3.67	4.00	3.72	4.31	3.46	3.31	3.54	3.92	3.31	3.64
	2213 Raman, Jyothi	19	57.9%	3.55	3.73	3.64	3.73	3.55	3.36	3.59	3.64	3.45	3.73	4.09	3.45	3.55	3.65
	2221 Gonderinger, Matthew	7	85.7%	4.00	4.17	4.00	4.00	3.83	3.83	3.97	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.50	3.83	3.83
	2221 Grewer, Royce	2	100.0%	3.50	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.58	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2221 Rands, Marilyn	3	66.7%	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.08	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.17
	2221 Schneider, Scott	5	80.0%	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.42	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.88
	2221 Tarini, Laurence	7	28.6%	3.00	3.50	4.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	3.00	3.50	3.00	2.50	3.00	2.00	2.50	2.75
	2221 Zhou, Changgong	10	60.0%	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.14	4.33	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.14
	2223 Pokharel, Rajendra	10	70.0%	3.86	3.83	4.00	4.29	4.00	3.57	3.93	4.43	3.57	4.17	4.00	4.29	3.43	3.99
	2223 Rands, Marilyn	12	50.0%	4.33	4.17	4.00	4.00	3.83	3.67	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.00	3.80	4.17	3.83	4.06
	2231 Ghoddoussi, Farhad	27	70.4%	4.37	4.37	4.37	4.26	4.05	4.26	4.28	4.68	4.37	4.47	4.32	4.53	4.47	4.47
	2413 Ahmadi, Parviz	23	78.3%	4.61	4.22	4.56	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.48	4.78	4.22	4.22	4.28	4.78	4.44	4.45
	2413 Pokharel, Rajendra	26	76.9%	3.50	3.90	3.70	3.55	3.50	3.20	3.56	3.70	2.80	3.15	3.25	3.60	3.00	3.25
	2413 Schneider, Scott	6	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2413 Zhou, Changgong	56	78.6%	3.93	3.91	3.77	3.73	3.55	3.50	3.73	4.50	3.70	3.59	3.50	3.95	3.56	3.79
	2421 Gonderinger, Matthew	11	90.9%	4.40	4.30	4.10	4.30	4.20	4.40	4.28	4.40	4.20	4.30	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.25
	2421 Grewer, Royce	14	78.6%	4.64	4.36	4.27	4.73	4.64	4.30	4.49	4.82	4.73	4.82	4.82	4.91	4.82	4.82
	2421 Pokharel, Rajendra	14	78.6%	4.09	4.27	4.09	4.18	3.73	3.91	4.05	3.91	3.18	3.45	3.82	3.73	3.18	3.55
	2421 Rands, Marilyn	13	100.0%	4.31	4.17	4.31	4.23	4.00	3.92	4.16	3.92	4.15	4.17	4.23	3.77	4.08	4.04
	2421 Schneider, Scott	14	85.7%	4.58	4.67	4.50	4.42	4.42	4.58	4.53	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.75	4.92	4.81
	2421 Tarini, Laurence	22	81.8%	4.44	4.33	4.28	4.33	4.17	4.11	4.28	4.56	4.50	4.50	4.39	4.44	4.39	4.46
	2421 Zhou, Changgong	27	74.1%	4.35	4.53	4.42	4.40	4.10	4.26	4.32	4.50	4.25	4.05	4.15	4.15	4.05	4.19
	2423 Moschelli, George	51	56.9%	3.90	3.79	3.66	3.96	4.00	3.76	3.82	4.34	3.72	4.00	4.07	4.38	3.79	4.05
2431 Bozin, Keith	19	68.4%	4.08	4.38	4.38	4.00	4.23	4.15	4.21	4.54	4.00	4.15	4.08	4.38	4.15	4.22	
2431 Moschelli, George	18	55.6%	4.10	4.00	4.00	4.30	3.60	4.00	4.00	4.30	4.40	4.40	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.32	
3414 Schneider, Scott	4	75.0%	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	3.67	4.67	4.39	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.89	
3653 Schneider, Scott	5	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.00	3.50	3.50	4.50	4.08	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.67	
PSC	1161 Zhou, Changgong	2	100.0%	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	5.00	4.58	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
PSY	1213 Anthony, Bart	10	70.0%	3.43	3.71	3.86	3.71	3.71	3.86	3.71	4.00	3.57	3.29	3.14	3.29	3.43	3.45
	1213 Delogu, Franco	20	40.0%	4.25	4.38	4.75	4.38	4.38	4.63	4.46	4.75	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.75	4.50	4.52
	1213 Watson, Donna	16	43.8%	4.43	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.57	4.57	4.00	4.43	4.14	4.14	4.31
	2113 Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	13	76.9%	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.70	4.73
	2613 Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	15	66.7%	5.00	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.78
	3323 Molloy, Sara	13	84.6%	4.45	4.36	4.00	4.36	4.36	4.09	4.27	4.18	4.27	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.27	4.30

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
PSY	3413	Delogu, Franco	8	75.0%	3.33	4.17	4.00	3.00	3.50	4.00	3.67	5.00	4.17	4.33	3.83	5.00	4.50	4.47
	3623	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	19	63.2%	4.75	4.58	4.75	4.75	4.42	4.67	4.65	4.92	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.92	4.86
	4633	Luria, Debra	6	83.3%	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.20	3.80	4.00	4.07	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.20	4.57
RES	7043	Cole, Matthew	4	100.0%	4.25	5.00	5.00	4.25	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.25	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.79
SAP	2994	McConnell, Davey	11	54.5%	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.03	4.33	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.50	4.33	4.28
SPN	2913	McArdle, James	6	66.7%	3.50	3.75	3.75	4.00	2.75	3.50	3.54	4.75	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.88
SSC	2303	Kler, Marlene	72	56.9%	4.24	4.23	4.29	4.20	4.17	4.15	4.21	4.54	4.29	4.21	4.32	4.20	4.27	4.30
	2303	Mulder, Daniel	45	64.4%	4.31	4.31	4.31	4.48	4.34	4.28	4.34	4.69	4.45	4.48	4.55	4.69	4.48	4.56
	2303	Reed, Jason	21	71.4%	4.33	4.13	4.27	4.07	4.00	4.13	4.16	4.60	4.33	4.47	4.20	4.50	4.33	4.40
	2413	Powell, Jeffrey	19	84.2%	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.44	4.25	4.40	4.40	4.94	4.75	4.73	4.75	4.81	4.81	4.80
	2413	Sledge, James	20	65.0%	4.69	4.77	4.85	4.77	4.77	4.77	4.77	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92
	2413	Stern, Marvin	39	69.2%	3.81	3.92	3.93	3.59	3.89	3.81	3.82	4.56	4.04	4.22	3.96	4.41	4.00	4.20
	2413	Vogt, Philip	26	76.9%	4.25	4.40	4.15	4.05	4.20	3.89	4.17	4.75	4.20	3.95	4.05	4.00	3.75	4.11
	2413	Wilhelm, Stephanie	19	47.4%	4.56	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.56	4.69	5.00	4.78	4.78	4.78	5.00	4.89	4.87
	2423	Mansour, Malinda	14	71.4%	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.30	4.40	4.60	4.45	4.80	4.70	4.90	4.60	4.90	4.80	4.78
	2423	Powell, Jeffrey	20	65.0%	4.23	4.31	4.00	4.23	4.31	4.31	4.23	4.54	4.38	4.23	4.38	4.23	4.42	4.36
	2423	Stern, Marvin	31	74.2%	3.65	3.83	3.87	3.48	3.74	3.70	3.71	4.13	3.70	4.00	3.91	4.39	3.61	3.95
	2423	Wirth, Daniel	30	83.3%	4.36	4.40	4.56	4.52	4.20	4.40	4.41	4.48	4.52	4.52	4.48	4.60	4.48	4.51
	2423	Yezbick, Denise	10	50.0%	4.00	4.20	4.40	4.20	3.80	4.20	4.13	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.37
	3133	Vogt, Philip	5	60.0%	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.61
	3163	Mansour, Malinda	21	61.9%	4.46	4.54	4.54	4.69	4.38	4.54	4.53	4.62	4.69	4.85	4.62	4.85	4.77	4.73
3513	Kler, Marlene	7	100.0%	4.29	4.14	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.43	4.17	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.57	4.48	
4733	Barrett, Jason	21	66.7%	4.57	4.64	4.38	4.43	4.57	4.36	4.50	4.86	4.71	4.64	4.64	4.86	4.79	4.75	
6013	Shamir, Mirit	4	50.0%	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.67	
TAS	1013	Nelson, Robert	12	8.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	1033	Nelson, Robert	5	60.0%	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.00	2.67	3.67	3.44	4.33	4.33	5.00	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.50
	2033	Breest, Christopher	9	88.9%	4.63	4.13	4.25	3.75	4.13	4.13	4.17	4.75	4.13	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.27
	3013	Blau, Ben	9	100.0%	4.78	4.67	4.78	3.89	4.67	4.33	4.52	4.89	4.11	3.78	3.33	4.56	3.89	4.09
	3053	Blau, Ben	7	85.7%	3.67	3.83	4.00	2.50	3.33	3.67	3.50	4.50	3.50	3.67	2.33	3.83	3.83	3.61
	4133	Giese, Dieter	10	90.0%	3.89	4.33	4.56	3.89	4.00	4.33	4.17	4.67	4.11	4.56	4.11	4.67	4.33	4.41
TCE	2073	Lamus, Christopher	9	55.6%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.67	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.67
	2143	Hammond, Jason	12	58.3%	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.29	4.71	4.86	4.67	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.83
	3053	Cook, Kenneth	7	71.4%	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.60	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.60	5.00	4.40	4.70
	4093	Carnovale, Frank	8	87.5%	3.86	4.29	4.43	3.67	4.29	3.86	4.10	3.57	3.86	3.57	4.20	4.14	4.14	3.92
	4113	Hammond, Jason	7	57.1%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.96	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4213	Carnovale, Frank	5	20.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
TEE	2033	Power, Joseph	8	87.5%	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.57	4.86	4.81	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2093	Gaur, Deepali	9	77.8%	3.29	3.86	3.71	3.71	3.14	3.43	3.52	3.43	3.00	3.29	3.43	3.57	2.71	3.24
	3103	Cook, Kenneth	10	50.0%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.63	4.80	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.57
	4224	Gaur, Deepali	5	100.0%	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.20	4.40	3.60	4.00	3.80	3.60	3.80	3.86
TIE	3063	Shamamy, Patricia	9	55.6%	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.07	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.00	4.13
	3163	Abro, Sabah	17	76.5%	4.54	4.38	4.38	4.46	4.46	4.38	4.44	4.77	4.62	4.62	4.62	4.69	4.62	4.65
	3203	Shamamy, Patricia	18	61.1%	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.64	4.00	4.09	4.39	4.18	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.27	4.09	4.32
	4115	Cook, Kenneth	13	92.3%	4.92	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.75	4.92	4.82	5.00	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.92	4.92	4.90

Fall 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results

Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
TME	1023	Cuper, Jerry	20	75.0%	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.67	4.67	4.73	4.71	4.87	4.73	4.80	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.73
	3113	Shamamy, Patricia	15	46.7%	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.64	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.64
	3333	Abro, Sabah	18	77.8%	4.29	3.31	4.36	4.29	4.36	4.21	4.15	4.79	4.64	4.64	4.71	4.79	4.64	4.70
	4103	Shamamy, Patricia	16	50.0%	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.56	4.38	4.50	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.52
	4413	Cuper, Jerry	7	71.4%	4.60	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.77	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.90
Total/Average			11,715	62.3%	4.21	4.20	4.27	4.16	4.16	4.14	4.19	4.47	4.21	4.33	4.26	4.38	4.28	4.32