

Spring 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Instructor	Subject Code	Course Number	Participation		The Course						The Instructor						Instructor Average	
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.		Overall, the instructor was effective.
Cady, Paul	COM	1103	19	78.9%	4.13	4.20	4.40	4.13	4.40	4.33	4.27	4.47	4.20	4.36	4.47	4.40	4.33	4.35
Cady, Paul	LLT	1213	19	68.4%	4.08	4.08	4.23	4.00	4.00	3.92	4.05	4.46	4.46	4.38	4.31	4.62	4.54	4.46
Cady, Paul	LLT	1223	18	66.7%	4.42	4.50	4.42	4.33	4.42	4.25	4.39	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.25	4.58	4.58	4.53
Candor, Patricia	ART	2113	13	69.2%	4.22	4.63	4.67	4.22	4.33	4.44	4.40	4.78	4.22	4.44	4.11	4.67	4.44	4.44
Carduner, Keith	PHY	1154	10	80.0%	4.13	4.13	4.38	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.13	4.25	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.63	4.38	4.42
Carnovale, Frank	TCE	3123	7	71.4%	4.40	3.80	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.20	4.10	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.80	4.60	4.50
Carpenter, Donald	ECE	1011	16	81.3%	4.38	4.38	4.46	4.46	4.38	4.46	4.42	4.77	4.69	4.54	4.54	4.69	4.69	4.65
Carpenter, Donald	ECE	4051	15	80.0%	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.42	4.33	4.42	4.39	4.58	4.67	4.67	4.42	4.67	4.50	4.58
Carpenter, Donald	ECE	5543	12	100.0%	4.75	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.92	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.83	4.75	4.78
Cartwright, Christopher	MCS	1424	48	60.4%	4.41	4.31	4.24	4.34	4.10	4.14	4.26	4.52	4.21	4.34	4.48	4.41	4.31	4.38
Castelli, Patricia	MBA	7053	17	64.7%	4.91	4.82	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.89	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91
Chahine, John	EAE	4623	9	88.9%	4.63	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.38	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.88	5.00	4.88	5.00	4.94	4.94
Chasse, James	INT	7233	6	66.7%	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.00	3.25	3.21	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25
Chestnut, Jacqueline	EME	6713	11	63.6%	3.86	3.29	3.86	4.14	3.71	3.43	3.71	3.57	3.71	4.14	3.86	4.00	3.57	3.81
Chidester, Jacob	ARC	3823	6	50.0%	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94	4.94
Chidester, Jacob	ARC	6002	3	100.0%	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.56	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.39
Choucair, Hassan	EME	7303	7	42.9%	3.00	3.67	4.00	4.33	2.67	3.00	3.44	3.33	3.67	4.33	3.67	3.33	3.00	3.56
Christensen, Ann	ARC	2126	4	50.0%	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	5.00	4.00	3.92	4.50	4.00	3.00	2.50	4.00	3.50	3.58
Chung, Chan-Jin	MCS	4993	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Chung, Chan-Jin	MCS	5403	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Chynoweth, Matthew	ECE	5783	19	68.4%	5.00	4.92	5.00	4.92	4.85	4.92	4.94	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.99
Cloud, Michael	EEE	2214	10	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Cloud, Michael	EEE	3414	9	77.8%	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.40	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.60
Cloud, Michael	EEE	4423	8	75.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.25	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.06
Cole, Matthew	MBA	5013	18	38.9%	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.86	4.29	4.55	4.71	4.29	4.71	4.67	4.86	4.43	4.61
Cole, Matthew	MGT	2203	21	57.1%	4.58	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.67	4.58	4.68	4.83	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.76
Cole, Matthew	RES	7033	4	75.0%	4.33	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.72	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
Collins, Francesco	ART	2623	17	82.4%	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.29	4.50	4.42	4.86	4.64	4.43	4.15	4.50	4.57	4.54
Conner, John	COM	2103	39	61.5%	4.67	4.00	4.63	4.54	4.50	4.50	4.47	4.75	4.79	4.75	4.63	4.79	4.83	4.76
Cook, Kenneth	TEE	3103	3	100.0%	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.11	5.00	4.33	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.33	4.72
Cook, Kenneth	TIE	4115	11	54.5%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.94	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Cooley, Phillip	ART	2993	6	83.3%	3.60	4.40	4.20	3.75	4.60	3.80	4.06	4.80	4.00	4.40	3.40	4.80	4.60	4.33
Cooper, Stuart	ATD	2826	11	81.8%	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.76
Coval, Brad	MCS	44	16	43.8%	4.29	4.14	4.14	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.31	4.57	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.29	4.43	4.33
Coval, Brad	MCS	1123	8	62.5%	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.40	3.60	4.27	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.20	4.20	4.50
Coy, Steven	ART	2993	6	466.7%	4.32	4.50	4.57	4.29	4.50	4.71	4.48	4.73	4.42	4.58	4.32	4.96	4.77	4.63
Coy, Steven	ART	3043	15	86.7%	4.55	4.64	4.82	4.36	4.64	4.64	4.61	4.60	4.50	4.55	4.40	4.73	4.80	4.60
Coy, Steven	ART	3623	4	50.0%	3.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.25	5.00	4.00	5.00	3.50	5.00	5.00	4.38
Craig, Jack	ART	1133	11	63.6%	4.00	4.14	4.29	3.43	4.57	4.57	4.17	4.86	4.29	4.43	4.00	4.43	4.57	4.42
Craig, Jack	ART	2113	6	83.3%	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.80	4.50	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.67
Craig, Brian	LDR	2001	15	73.3%	4.00	4.27	4.00	4.18	3.55	3.55	3.92	4.82	4.36	4.64	4.55	4.82	4.55	4.62
Crowley, Joseph	ATD	2826	11	81.8%	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.74	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.74
Crum, Lilian	ART	3513	13	69.2%	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.61	4.89	4.56	4.78	4.56	4.67	4.78	4.70
Crum, Lilian	ART	4524	3	100.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.50
Crum, Lilian	ART	4622	11	81.8%	4.33	4.00	4.11	3.89	4.11	3.78	4.04	4.22	4.00	4.56	3.89	4.56	4.22	4.24
Crum, Lilian	ART	4624	5	80.0%	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.75	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.25	5.00	4.75	4.63
Crutcher, Kenneth	ARC	1213	7	85.7%	4.17	4.33	4.17	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.50	3.50	3.83	3.00	4.33	4.00	3.86
Cuper, Jerry	TME	1023	14	71.4%	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	5.00	4.80	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.85
Cusmano, Frank	HRM	3023	24	75.0%	4.50	4.56	4.50	4.56	4.50	4.56	4.53	4.82	4.78	4.72	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.65
Dada, Amanullah	INT	5024	17	41.2%	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.71	3.86	4.31	4.67	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.46
Dean, Kristen	ARC	2813	8	62.5%	3.00	3.60	4.00	3.60	3.40	3.60	3.53	3.60	3.80	3.80	3.60	4.20	3.80	3.80
Dean, Walter	CHM	1221	8	62.5%	4.40	4.00	4.20	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.30	3.80	3.20	3.60	4.20	4.40	3.60	3.80
Deines, Amy	ARI	4134	7	42.9%	4.33	4.00	3.67	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.89	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.50

Spring 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Instructor	Subject Code	Course Number	Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Wong, Margaret	ARC	3126	27	63.0%	4.12	3.94	3.88	3.65	4.00	3.82	3.90	4.59	4.53	4.44	4.38	4.65	4.29	4.47
Woodworth, James	CHM	1154	21	61.9%	3.77	3.85	3.69	3.92	3.46	3.85	3.76	4.31	3.77	4.15	4.00	4.15	3.92	4.05
Woodworth, James	CHM	1221	5	80.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.96
Woodworth, James	PHY	2421	6	50.0%	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.11
Yassine, Mahmoud	EME	5143	29	65.5%	4.11	4.32	4.16	4.21	4.16	3.95	4.15	4.05	3.79	4.11	4.32	3.74	3.74	3.96
Yee, Kingman	EGE	3012	61	68.9%	4.29	4.21	4.26	4.10	4.00	4.05	4.15	4.36	4.24	4.48	4.31	3.98	4.24	4.27
Yee, Kingman	EME	3011	24	79.2%	3.84	3.89	3.78	3.95	3.74	3.47	3.78	4.11	3.89	4.21	4.05	3.84	4.17	4.04
Yee, Kingman	EME	3013	15	66.7%	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.50	4.30	4.30	4.40	4.40	4.50	4.40
Yee, Kingman	EME	4222	23	52.2%	3.64	3.82	3.73	3.91	4.09	3.82	3.83	3.82	3.91	4.20	4.00	3.64	3.82	3.90
Yee, Kingman	EME	4253	14	57.1%	4.38	4.17	4.43	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.13	4.50	4.46
Yezbick, Denise	LLT	1223	20	75.0%	3.93	4.07	4.07	3.87	3.80	3.60	3.88	4.13	4.13	3.93	4.00	4.07	3.86	4.04
Yezbick, Denise	LLT	3453	12	41.7%	3.40	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.00	3.40	4.20	3.40	4.00	3.60	3.40	3.80	3.73
Youngblood, Elizabeth	ART	2523	7	57.1%	2.25	2.75	3.25	2.25	3.00	2.75	2.71	2.75	2.25	2.75	2.50	3.00	2.25	2.53
Yu, Daihong	ARC	6883	9	88.9%	1.63	1.63	1.75	1.63	1.75	1.50	1.65	2.13	1.63	2.38	2.14	2.38	1.75	2.06
Yu, Daihong	ARC	6884	9	100.0%	3.63	3.75	3.75	3.75	4.00	4.13	3.83	1.78	1.63	2.00	1.60	2.56	1.56	1.88
Yu, Daihong	EAE	3991	9	100.0%	1.63	1.75	1.88	1.50	1.38	1.50	1.60	2.00	1.50	2.13	1.86	2.38	1.50	1.90
Yu, Daihong	EAE	4024	8	75.0%	3.83	4.00	4.33	4.33	3.83	3.83	4.03	3.33	2.67	3.33	4.50	4.00	2.67	3.08
Yu, Daihong	EAE	4613	11	90.9%	2.50	2.90	3.30	2.60	2.60	2.30	2.70	2.60	2.00	2.40	3.20	3.33	2.20	2.60
Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	ECE	3324	16	87.5%	4.36	4.29	4.07	4.14	3.93	4.14	4.15	4.57	4.36	4.43	4.14	4.43	4.36	4.38
Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	ECE	4363	16	93.8%	4.20	3.93	4.13	4.00	3.93	4.13	4.06	4.60	4.13	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.13	4.28
Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	ECE	4544	11	90.9%	4.40	4.40	4.30	4.50	4.30	4.40	4.38	4.70	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.50	4.48
Zager, Nicholas	ECE	3523	7	85.7%	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.81
Zalzali, Michael	MCS	2523	21	66.7%	4.14	4.14	4.36	4.29	4.29	4.00	4.20	4.21	4.21	4.21	4.21	4.57	4.21	4.27
Zendeli, Bashkim	MCS	35	21	61.9%	4.77	4.46	4.62	4.38	4.54	4.58	4.55	4.85	4.77	4.77	4.54	4.77	4.77	4.74
Zendeli, Bashkim	MCS	1224	19	63.2%	4.00	4.17	4.08	4.00	4.08	3.83	4.03	4.00	4.08	4.08	4.08	3.83	4.00	4.00
Zendeli, Bashkim	MCS	2414	31	64.5%	4.25	4.40	4.35	4.05	4.30	4.25	4.27	4.45	4.00	4.00	4.10	4.25	4.25	4.18
Zendeli, Bashkim	MCS	2423	19	73.7%	4.36	4.21	4.43	4.50	4.43	4.36	4.38	4.36	4.07	4.36	4.21	4.50	4.21	4.29
Zhang, Jing	ATD	3726	6	83.3%	1.80	3.20	2.60	1.60	2.00	2.00	2.20	4.40	1.60	3.00	1.60	3.60	2.00	2.70
Zhou, Changgong	PHY	2413	25	68.0%	4.00	4.06	3.76	3.65	3.65	3.41	3.75	4.82	3.94	4.18	4.18	4.53	3.88	4.25
Zhou, Changgong	PHY	2423	11	72.7%	4.63	4.63	4.38	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.40	4.75	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.38
Zhou, Changgong	PHY	2431	20	85.0%	4.35	4.35	4.12	4.12	4.35	4.18	4.25	4.59	4.35	4.41	4.35	4.29	4.47	4.41
Zhou, Changgong	PHY	4724	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.60
Zhu, Guang-Chong	MCS	1414	19	94.7%	4.39	4.33	4.39	4.33	4.50	4.44	4.40	4.61	4.44	4.28	4.28	4.61	4.44	4.44
Zhu, Guang-Chong	MCS	3403	15	73.3%	4.09	3.55	4.36	4.36	4.27	3.91	4.09	4.73	4.18	4.36	4.27	4.73	3.91	4.36
Zhu, Guang-Chong	MCS	3743	10	70.0%	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.43	4.71	4.62	5.00	4.71	4.57	5.00	5.00	4.86	4.86
Ziegelman, Robert	ARC	3993	3	33.3%	4.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.17	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.67
Zmuda, Frank	ARC	1223	13	92.3%	4.42	4.36	4.58	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.40	4.58	4.50	4.58	4.08	4.58	4.58	4.49
Zorka, Nicholas	EEE	3422	5	100.0%	4.20	4.00	4.20	3.80	4.20	4.40	4.13	4.80	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.60	4.60	4.43
Zurawski, Erik	MCS	1142	19	68.4%	4.46	4.15	4.46	4.23	4.38	4.31	4.33	4.38	4.00	4.54	4.31	4.15	4.38	4.29
Zurawski, Erik	MCS	1623	14	85.7%	4.42	4.42	4.33	4.08	4.25	4.33	4.31	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.73	4.08	3.92	3.88
Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	BIO	1223	17	88.2%	4.00	4.27	3.43	4.27	4.40	4.29	4.10	4.80	4.40	4.53	4.33	4.73	4.40	4.53
Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	BIO	1231	17	88.2%	4.33	4.47	4.33	4.33	4.20	4.27	4.32	4.87	4.40	4.40	4.47	4.80	4.67	4.60
Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	BIO	2323	11	90.9%	4.50	4.70	4.30	4.50	4.20	4.60	4.47	4.70	4.50	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.63
Grand Total			10,308	69.9%	4.16	4.14	4.21	4.10	4.09	4.07	4.12	4.44	4.16	4.28	4.20	4.35	4.22	4.27